

(Sri T. SUBRAMANYA)

was lighted at the cost of the municipality. This is so, because the Chairman of the Malleable Factory was also the President of the Municipality. He was owning that Factory and therefore got the street lights put up there. Subsequently, it was found out that the land vest under Government and not with the Municipality. The Deputy Commissioner, knowing it vested with the Government, passed order granting this piece of land to Kirloskar Company. On that order, they have come on appeal to Government. Government have passed a stay order that *status quo* should be maintained till the whole matter is enquired into.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ.—ಹರಿಹರದ ಎ. ಕೆ. ಕಾರ್ಖಾನೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವವರು ಮತ್ತು ಗುತ್ತೂರು ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವವರು, ಈ ಎರಡು ಹಳ್ಳಿಯವರೂ ಸುಮಾರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಮೈಲಿ ದೂರ ಬಳಸಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದ್ದರೂ ಇರಬಹುದು. ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಇರಬಹುದು.

It was not a public road at any time. It was meant only for Malleable Factory.

CONSTITUTION OF TRANSPORT CORPORATION.

*Q.—371. Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether they have finalised by proposal to constitute Transport Corporation ;

(b) which is the Committee to manage and direct the working of the Corporation ?

A.—Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for State Transport, Mining and Food).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise in view of (a) above.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Is it not a fact that the Government assured this House that the Corporation would come into being in the course of a few months ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಹೌದು, ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನನ್ನು ಬೇಗ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಮುಂದೆಯೂ ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನಜ.

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—What are the difficulties that are in the way of Government ? Is it that it is not advantageous to have the Corporation ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇದು ಅಡ್ವಾಂಟೇಜಿಯಸ್ ಇದೆ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಪ್ಯಾಸೆಂಜರ್ ಷೇರುಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ತಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದು ಇಲ್ಲ

ಪಾಸಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿದೆ. ಇದು ಪಾಸಾದಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವೆಂಕಟೇಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ರಾಸೆಂಜರ್ ಪೇರುಗಳಿಗೂ ಇದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಆ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನಲ್ಲ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲಾ!

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಫ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್ನು ಆಗಬೇಕು. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಪಾಸುಮಾಡಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ ಎಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that Sri Babu Reddy, who was in the Central Service was taken back to the State Service just to put him in charge of this Corporation? Was it not the intention of the Government?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Sir, he was on deputation to the Government of India service and he has been sent back to us and not with the intention as put by the Hon'ble Member.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, the question as to what the difficulty is, is not answered. What has the Passenger Taxation anything to do with this formation or otherwise?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Surcharge Bill has no direct relation with the formation of the Corporation. Casually the Hon'ble Minister mentioned it. Government would form this Corporation very early.

ALLOTMENT OF N. E. S. BLOCK TO KADUR TALUK.

*Q.—379. Sri D. H. RUDRAPPA (Birur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether they intend to allot an N. E. S. Block to Kadur Taluk, considering the Backwardness of this Area in all aspects;

(b) If so; when?

A.—Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(a) Yes.

(b) By October, 1963 at the latest.

Sri D. H. RUDRAPPA.—May I know the number of blocks allotted this year?

†Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Three extension blocks.

Sri D. H. RUDRAPPA.—What is the difficulty in allotting one block to Kadur?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—We have to fulfil certain criteria to get a block. The area coverage, the population coverage, the contiguity—all these things should be considered.